

WANT THE CASE DISMISSED

Hiram W. Miller's Attorney Claims that He Did Not Violate the Election Law

That an Inspector Can Receive a Ballot from Idiots and the Insane Without Heeding a Challenge Said to Be Legal.

The preliminary trial of Hiram W. Miller and others charged with conspiring to receive illegal votes in the fourth precinct of Wayne township, at the last election, was continued before United States Commissioner Van Buren yesterday. The government finished its case in the forenoon by introducing the evidence of Gustavus Benz, an inmate of the Poor Asylum, and M. H. Watts, a former superintendent of the institution and a resident of the precinct in which it is alleged the illegal votes were received. It was charged in the affidavit that Benz had not been in the precinct long enough to entitle him to a vote at the November election. When put on the stand he testified that he went to the asylum on Oct. 27, and voted at the election which occurred on the 6th of November following. He further said that he left the institution mentioned in July or August, and went to the Boone county asylum. After remaining there a couple of months he was dismissed and came back to county, and finally went back to the asylum. His vote was challenged, but Inspector Miller said he knew him, and received his vote over the challenge.

Mr. Watts testified that some days before the election he went to Superintendent Williams and asked to be allowed to make a poll of the asylum. Williams refused him the privilege, and remarked that he would see that the men all got to vote. Mr. Watts said he then said to Williams, "I intend then to run in illegal votes." Williams replied: "If I do, put me through for it; I am responsible." The witness told him if he did anything like that he would have him arrested. He also told him that as a prevention against illegal voting, the vote of every inmate of the asylum would be challenged.

The defense seemed to have no evidence to offer, and when the government had closed its testimony, John S. Duncan, attorney for Mr. Miller, surprised the court and district judge by moving to dismiss the case on the ground that the government had not proven a conspiracy as charged in the indictment. It was contended that there was not evidence enough to hold the defendants for any crime. Commissioner Van Buren said, with reference to the motion, that it had been a question for twenty years in Indiana whether an election inspector could take or refuse a challenged vote without first requiring the prescribed oath. The commissioner, therefore, asked the district judge to dismiss the case on that point. Mr. Duncan spoke at length on the points involved in the case. He maintained that where an inspector refused to accept a challenged vote, he was not required to require an affidavit in case a vote was challenged. He believed to be correct and not mandatory. Williams was personally acquainted with all the inmates of the asylum, and vouched for their votes being legal. He further held that even if a conspiracy was guilty of violating the law, it had not been proven that the four persons named in the affidavit had formed any conspiracy, and that the case must therefore fail.

William T. Brown followed Mr. Duncan in a lengthy and able speech on behalf of the prosecution. He maintained that the perfect case had been made against the accused. As to whether or not an inspector could receive a challenged vote without requiring an affidavit, he argued that the statute provided that an inspector should be allowed to vote. The section of the statute was mandatory and could not be construed in any other way. Mr. Miller had directly violated the law, and there was plenty of evidence, he said, to show that he had conspired with Williams, Thorpe and Eck, to receive the illegal votes of the inmates of the asylum. It was not denied, Mr. Brown claimed, that the illegal votes were received by Miller. Persons voted in the precinct who had not acquired a residence there, and the idiots and insane clearly had no right to vote. The law said that an insane or idiotic person could not sue or be sued, could not make a will, or do many other things which were the rights of intelligent citizens. Could it be possible that they had a right to vote? He then cited many decisions showing that when an idiot or insane man lost his legal rights, he also lost the right to vote. Commissioner Van Buren said there could be no law or justice that would allow an inspector to receive illegal votes without challenging without requiring an affidavit. Could it be possible that the law would shield Hiram W. Miller in voting 125 times as the evidence showed he had done, by receiving without affidavits that many challenged votes. Such an interpretation of the law, he maintained, would open a door to untold corruption.

The attorney for the defense moved to amend the affidavit by including the name of Barney Coyle as among those from the asylum who voted in the precinct without having acquired a residence. Coyle was on the witness-stand last week, and testified that he was taken to the asylum in the latter part of November by Mr. Williams, and that he voted in the precinct. Mr. Brown said that the amendment was unnecessary, because he was not a witness, and he was not a resident of the precinct. He said that under the Constitution of the United States and the State of Indiana a man was not disqualified from voting, because he was idiotic or insane. He also argued that the statutes of the State did not prescribe an oath to be administered when a voter was challenged. Coyle was not a witness, and he was not a resident of the precinct, and that, therefore, Inspector Miller had not violated any law by receiving their votes. At the conclusion of Mr. Bailey's argument, Commissioner Van Buren took the case under advisement until Wednesday.

No Conspiracy Charged.

There was no conspiracy charged against Dr. James I. Rooker in the complaint of Grant Rooker, in which he demanded damages for libel, nor was there a charge that the doctor sought to obtain possession of property which Grant Rooker claimed. The complaint upon the letter Miss Rooker wrote to Grant's mother in reference to the forged check contained the averment that Dr. Rooker sought to obtain the affections of Grant Rooker's mother from her son. Dr. Rooker has moved for a new trial, as it is claimed that the verdict of damages against him is excessive. In support of his motion he will submit an affidavit of his son, Dr. C. N. Rooker, charging that two of the jurors in the trial of the case were incompetent. It is alleged that the jurors were examined as to their competency they stated they were not acquainted with affiant, but the latter states that each has known him for more than two years, and that one of them is his enemy.

Receiver Scholl Resigns.

Charles Scholl yesterday resigned his receivership of the Broad Ripple Natural Gas Company's affairs, and Clement W. Ferguson was appointed by Judge Howland as his successor. The cause for Mr. Scholl's action was a complaint filed some time ago in which an objection was made that he should occupy the office of receiver while he was acting as trustee for the bonds held by J. M. Westcott and son. "Mr. Ferguson will take hold at once," said Mr. Scholl last night, "for as receiver I have nothing more to do with the affairs of the company. Everything is moving along as well as could be expected under the circumstances. There has been no change in our matters since the papers were given the first account." In Judge Taylor's room, yesterday, the Metropolitan National Bank was given a judgment for \$8,488.60 against the company, on a suit on note, which was the cause of bringing the company's insolvent condition before the public.

Nixon Asks for a Receiver.

John E. Sullivan, his wife and the long list of persons who have been identified in the numerous suits recently in the courts were defendants, yesterday, in a complaint filed by John E. Nixon for the settlement of additional difficulties that have arisen

out of Sullivan's entanglement. On Dec. 31, 1888, Sullivan executed his note to Nixon for \$6,000, giving a mortgage on his property in Vigo county and in Belmont. Nixon claims that the defendants in his suit lay claim to this property, and that his claim is junior to all others. He again repeats the charges against County Treasurer Loftin, claiming that he let Sullivan have money when he had a knowledge of the latter's insolvency, and asks that he be appointed to make a final settlement.

Suing for Support.

Mary J. Baldwin yesterday filed suit for support against her husband, John G. Baldwin, and the Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh Railway Company. In her complaint she states that Baldwin deserted her last Saturday without leaving her any possible means of support. Mr. Baldwin is in the employ of the railway company, and receives therefrom \$100 a month. His wife states in her complaint that she can subsist on \$25 a month, which amount she prays the court to grant her.

Divorce Complaints.

Anna M. and William Williams were married on Dec. 30, 1879, and after three years of married life the wife claims her husband deserted her without cause. Because of this abandonment and his failure to make provision for her support, Mrs. Williams yesterday filed a petition for a divorce. Nicholas McC. Williams also filed a complaint for divorce against Lida D. Williams, claiming that she had deserted him in 1887, six years after their marriage.

Daniel Burton as Bondsman.

The case of Charles Laner, trustee, against Daniel Burton was yesterday decided before the full bench of Superior Court judges in Laner's favor, whereby it was held that Burton is to be held liable on the bond of John E. Sullivan, from which he claimed to have been released. The decision also covers all cases of a like character, the court held, where Burton could claim any release from obligations.

Criminal Cases.

During the first four months of the current term, in the Criminal Court, there were over two hundred cases disposed of, two of which were indictments from the grand jury. The trial of John J. Clements, for the killing of Paul B. L. Nowland, will begin this morning.

The Court Record.

SUPERIOR COURT—GENERAL TERM.

Room 1—Hon. Napoleon B. Taylor, Judge.

Meridian National Bank vs. the Broad Ripple Natural Gas Company. On the motion of plaintiff for \$8,488.60.

William H. English vs. Aurelia M. Aldrich et al.; affirmed.

Charles E. Vinton vs. Gustavus H. Zscheck; affirmed and appealed.

John E. McKendry et al. vs. Sinker, Davis & Co.; reversed.

Mary Morrison et al. vs. William Needham et al.; affirmed.

Charles Laner et al. vs. Daniel Burton; ruling in favor of plaintiff and liability of defendant fully sustained in all cases.

New Suits Filed.

James Emmett vs. Rebecca J. Scott; on account. Demand, \$300.

Anna M. Williams vs. William Williams; adultery. Allegation of abandonment and failure to provide.

John R. Nixon vs. John E. Sullivan et al.; complaint for receivership.

John E. Baldwin vs. John P. Baldwin et al.; suit for support. Demand, \$25 per month.

Nicholas M. Williams vs. Lida D. Williams; divorce. Allegation of abandonment.

CRIMINAL COURT.

Hon. William Irvin, Judge.

State vs. Daniel Volmer; selling intoxicating liquor on Sunday. Fined \$25.

Amusements.

The Gilmore band, which will be at Tomlinson Hall to-morrow afternoon and evening, is the largest and most thoroughly organized and drilled combination of its kind that has ever been organized in this city. The band alone has eighty-nine members, nearly all of whom have been under Gilmore for years. Add to these the soloists, eight in all, the people necessary to operate the mechanical arrangements and others who take an active part in the jubilee, and the company has a membership of more than one hundred. Mr. Gilmore is recognized as one of the greatest band leaders in the country. The sale of seats for the jubilee, which will be held at Tomlinson Hall to-morrow afternoon and evening, is progressing rapidly. The special features introduced are up to the average.

Capital City Shooting Club.

The Capital City Shooting Club met on the new grounds, west of the canal, yesterday afternoon. Eighteen marksmen participated, and although they labored under difficulties, over six hundred birds were brought down. The high wind did much to prevent good scoring, and, with embarrassment attendant upon using new springs, traps, and equipment, the day's record was not wholly satisfactory. The matter of skill. The prize for the afternoon was a gold badge. C. M. Meeker and P. T. Madison tied for first place, but in a shoot-off Madison won in three shots out of a possible 35. Next in rank came George Beck, Horace A. Comstock and Dr. S. A. Moore, the first two making twenty-four and the latter twenty-four out of a possible thirty-five. The other scores fell low. At the close of the contest Royal Robinson was appointed official scorer for all future matches, and hereafter a regular record will be kept. The club now numbers forty members, and it is hoped to increase this number to one hundred. Contests will be held every Monday afternoon.

Thinks It Was an Accident.

Wm. Kissell, in regard to his wife's suicide, said last night that he did not think she had any idea of killing herself when she picked up one of the two revolvers lying on a bureau in their bedroom. During the day Mrs. Kissell said that she was happy in her married life, and told Mr. Kissell's mother what she and her husband had planned for the future. Mrs. Kissell, on returning home from her drive, the husband stated, did not speak to the women who had driven up to the saloon, nor did he. There was nothing in the way of jealousy on her part, nor did she manifest any. He thinks her death was the result of an accident, as she pointed one of the revolvers at her breast to frighten him, and not with any purpose of suicide.

Fire in a Mattress Factory.

There was a fire yesterday afternoon in W. F. Johnson's mattress factory, at the corner of Kentucky avenue and Tennessee street, but how it originated neither he nor anyone else about the place seems to have any clear idea. Some one said that a match lying on the floor had been stepped on, and again the story started that somebody lit a cigarette and carelessly dropped the burning match where it set fire to a large quantity of excelsior. The building, once owned by Guncker and Reithan, and now by Guncker alone, was badly scorched. The greater part of the excelsior, three sewing machines and some mattresses were burned. Mr. Johnson's loss is about \$1,000, without any insurance.

Why He Did Not Return the Horse.

John Cravins, colored, was arrested last night, charged with stealing a horse and buggy. He claims that on Sunday morning he hired the rig of a livery man on Maryland street, and drove north of the city to the country. At a narrow place in the road, near Fall creek, he attempted to turn around, with the intention of returning to town, when the horse broke and rolled down a ten-foot embankment. Seeing that he could not extricate it alone, Cravins walked in, and until a late hour last night the owner knew nothing of his horse and buggy.

UNCALLED FOR WARRANTS

A Charge That Reflects on the Management of the Township Assessor.

Men Make Affidavits that They Have Not Acted as Deputies, and Yet the Money Has Been Drawn for Their Pay.

The County Commissioners yesterday, in examining the warrants for the pay of deputies in township Assessor Quill's office, found one for \$36 payable to one David M. Fisher and one for an like amount payable to Edward Taylor. Mr. Fisher, when he learned a warrant was waiting for him appeared at the office and informed the commissioners that he had not been in the employ of Mr. Quill during the present year, and that if there was any money due him on the pay-roll it was not for any assistance he had rendered in making assessments. Mr. Fisher made an affidavit to that effect. Mr. Taylor also called, and in attempting to draw his salary made an affidavit as to his identity, swearing that his name was Taylor, and that as such he was entitled to his pay. He confessed, however, after a little questioning, that his proper name was Edward Tyler, but that his father, who is chief deputy under Mr. Quill, had entered his name on the pay-roll as Taylor instead of Tyler, to prevent any hard feeling among the other deputies, or among any who might accuse him of partiality. Mr. Tyler's father stated to the commissioners that he had changed the name of his son on the pay-roll for the reason ascribed, but he could give no satisfactory account as to the conduct of his son in making the change.

While the Tyler case does not in any way hinge upon the charges made against the assessor, the warrant in Mr. Fisher's name has caused some comment. Mr. Quill, who has been in Nashville since Saturday, returned last night. "This is the first intimation," he said to a Journal reporter, "that I had about Mr. Fisher's case, but I think I can fully explain the matter. Mr. Fisher and I are old acquaintances, and last year he was on my list of deputy assessors. This year, in organizing my force, he came to me and applied for a position, asking as a special favor that he be given work in the first ward. I told him I could not put him there, as the places were all filled, but that I could assign him to a district near Brightwood, and that he accepted. As soon as I had drafted my list of deputies, as was done, I placed Mr. Fisher's name, I handed it over to Robert Duncan, who then had charge of the records and pay-roll, and he put it upon the pay-roll. So far as I knew he was doing the work where I had originally assigned him, but if he had done my list of deputies, as was made by Duncan or through his influence."

"Did Fisher ever tell you he was not doing the work?" he asked me. "He told me he had accepted it, and as soon as I had offered him the place and he had accepted it, I turned the matter over to Duncan. We adopted a new system this year, instead of having the deputies report to our office in the morning for duty and be checked off by their names, we used numbers. Each man was assigned a number, and if he failed to report, Duncan was the man who found it out. It was his place to make the report."

"What do you say of the charge that is made against you for drawing warrants for deputies who have done no work?"

"That is about as absurd a charge as anybody could conjure up if they knew the facts. I have had men come to me who were in my employ and ask that on pay day I hold back their salaries, as they did not care to draw them out, and I have obliged them. One man, I remember, had a note that fell due in the course of a month or so, and he asked me to retain his pay until he could draw it out in bulk. I gave him the money, and he drew it out each case the money has been deposited in bank, where it will show for itself. As to drawing warrants for men who have not worked, or for deputies who in any way, all I have to say is that my accounts are all open to inspection. I am willing to make a complete showing. Even if I should draw a warrant for a deputy who has not worked, I know that it would be an impossibility for me to conceal it under the present regime. The men who draw their warrants separately, and they are there on record, the best proof any man could want. It would be the act of an insane man to carry on such a prostitution of the official power, which is so evident that it would be discovered. I have endeavored to carry on my work honestly and with as much economy as I could, and I have endeavored to keep my integrity, I can only extend an invitation to make an examination."

The Duncan referred to by Mr. Quill is the man discharged by his father, for alleged mismanagement of affairs entrusted to him and for impertinence to his chief, in stating that the County Commissioners, and Mr. Quill, gave him his place in the office.

It is claimed by the County Commissioners that there are several other men in the position of Fisher in several instances, but it is carried on the pay-roll of the assessor without their knowledge. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. "I understand," he said, "that J. F. Farnsworth, David Pickers and John McClellan have made affidavits stating that warrants have been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation in fact, and that they were identical with that filed early in the afternoon. To complete this charge against the assessor it is claimed that he has drawn several warrants for money, although the amounts due them have been allowed by the commissioners. Michael Toomey, deputy city clerk, stated to a Journal reporter last night that if additional affidavits had been made by the men who had been drawn for warrants that they had been drawn for their pay, and that such warrants have no foundation